

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,609	02/18/2004	Farni Weaver	2284	2700
28005 SPRINT	7590 08/31/200	9	EXAMINER	
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-22100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			PEACHES, RANDY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/781,609	WEAVER, FARNI	WEAVER, FARNI	
Examiner	Art Unit		
RANDY PEACHES	2617		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 August 2009.		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213		

Disposition of Claims

4)⊠ Claim(s) 14 and 16-21 is/are pending in the application.				
<i>-</i>				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14,16,17,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 19</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
pplication Papers				
photon i apera				
 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 				

Ap

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_is/are: a)☐ accepted	d or b) objected to by t	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that a	any objection to the drawi	ing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) i	ncluding the correction is	required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1.∟	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

chment(s	Atta
chment(s	Atta

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No/s //Mail Date	6) Other: .	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/781,609

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of *claims 14 and 16-21* are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Hicks et al.. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filling of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

1. Claim 19 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 18. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/781,609

Art Unit: 2617

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

 Claims 14, 16-17 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hicks et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,016,424).

Regarding *claim 14*, Hicks et al. discloses a message delivery method providing a mobile station user notification of the delay of teleservices, comprising:

- determining whether a delay timer parameter (Delay-TMR)(40), which reads on
 claim "expected delay," for delivery of an electronic message is less than a Rdelay period (95), which reads on claim, "threshold delay,", wherein the said
 (Delay-TMR)(40) is the expected delay for delivery of an electronic message by a
 Base station/mobile station interworking function (BMI, column 2 lines 20-30),
 which reads on claim "message gateway." See column 2 lines 42-67;
- creating the electronic message only after determining that the said (Delay-TMR)(40), is less than the said R-delay period (95). See column 3 lines 60-67 and column 4 lines 1-5, whereby Hicks teaches that if a new teleservice transaction is to be sent a new message is created and replaces the messages in the queue; and
- sending the electronic message to the said BMI only after determining that the said (Delay-TMR)(40) is less than the said R-delay period (95). See column 3 lines 1-8 and column 4 lines 2-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,609

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding *claim* 16, according to *claim* 14, Hicks et al. continues to disclose wherein determining whether the (Delay-TMR)(40) is less than a threshold delay includes sending a R-Data message (25), which reads on claim "delay query." See column 2 lines 45-59.

Regarding *claim* 17, according to *claim* 14, Hicks et al. continues to disclose wherein determining whether the (Delay-TMR)(40) is less than a R-delay period (95) includes receiving a R-Data Information element (35), which reads on claim "received delay report." See column 2 lines 49-59.

Regarding *claim* 20, according to *claim* 14, Hicks et al. continues to disclose wherein determining whether the (Delay-TMR)(40) exceeds a R-delay period (95) includes:

- receiving a R-Data Information element (35), that indicates the length of the said (Delay-TMR)(40). See column 2 lines 49-59; and
- comparing the (Delay-TMR)(40) to the R-delay period (95). See column 3 lines 34-51.

Regarding *claim* 21, according to *claim* 14, Hicks et al. continues to disclose wherein the electronic message is a short message service message. See column 2 lines 26-34.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to *claims 14, 16-17 and 19-21* have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RANDY PEACHES whose telephone number is (571) 272-7914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Randy Peaches/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617